Case: 21-55049, 05/11/2021, ID: 12109173, DktEntry: 15, Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MAY 11 2021

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JUNG WON YUN,

No. 21-55049

Petitioner-Appellant,

D.C. No. 5:20-cv-02266-DMG-JPR Central District of California, Riverside

 \mathbf{v}

ORDER

CHUNG CHA KIM; et al.,

Respondents-Appellees.

Before: PAEZ, BERZON, and HUNSAKER, Circuit Judges.

Upon a review of the record, the opening brief, and the response to the court's March 24, 2021 order, we conclude this appeal is frivolous. We therefore deny appellant's motions to proceed in forma pauperis (Docket Entry Nos. 3, 8 & 9), see 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), and dismiss this appeal as frivolous, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) (court shall dismiss case at any time, if court determines it is frivolous or malicious).

All other pending motions are denied as moot.

DISMISSED.

JS-6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **CASE NUMBER** Jung Won Yun, EDCV20-2266-DMG (IPRx) PLAINTIFF(S) Chung Cha Kim, et al., ORDER RE REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS DEFENDANT(S) IT IS ORDERED that the Request to Proceed In Forma Pauperis is hereby GRANTED. Date United States Magistrate Judge IT IS RECOMMENDED that the Request to Proceed In Forma Pauperis be DENIED for the following reason(s): ☐ Inadequate showing of indigency □ District Court lacks jurisdiction Legally and/or factually patently frivolous Immunity as to Other: Comments: Petitioner's request that the court reverse certain orders in

his state-court divorce proceedings is barred by the Rooker-Feldman doctrine. See Moore v. County of Butte, 547 F. App'x 826, 829 (9th Cir. 2013); Safapou v. Marin County, 787 F. App'x 975,976 (9th Cir. 2019). Because he does not challenge the constitutionality of California's Statute concerning vexations litigarity but rather seeks only to overturn the state court's order declaring him one because he is remorseful and has been stigmatized by the order, Rooker-Feldman applies to that order as well. see Bashkin v. Hickman, 411 F. App'x 998, 999 (9th Cir. Feldman applies to that order as well.

Date Nov. 2, 2020

IT IS ORDERED that the Request to Proceed In Forma Paul	peris is	hereby
--	----------	--------

☐ GRAN	ΓED
--------	-----

DENIED (see comments above). IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

Plaintiff SHALL PAY THE FILING FEES IN FULL within 30 days or this case will be dismissed.

This case is hereby DISMISSED immediately.

☐ This case is hereby REMANDED to state court.

November 3, 2020

Date

Additional material from this filing is available in the Clerk's Office.